

REMARKS:

The foregoing amendment to the specification was originally set forth in the Preliminary Amendment filed on February 24, 2004. The return postcard (copy attached) filed with the Preliminary Amendment and returned by the USPTO indicates that the Preliminary Amendment was received in the Mail Room of the USPTO on March 1, 2004. Entry of the foregoing amendment to the specification is requested in the event that it has not already been entered in response to the Preliminary Amendment. The amendment describes originally filed Figs. 3 and 4, and does not add new matter since the new text merely adds references to features shown in originally filed Figs. 3 and 4 (which are described in the originally filed "Brief Description of Drawings" section on page 5 of the specification).

Claims 1-19 stand provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 5-8 of pending Application No. 09/613,855. In response, a terminal disclaimer is being filed herewith. The terminal disclaimer is believed to overcome the double patenting rejection.

Claims 1-4, 7-9, 14, and 15 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101. In response, these claims are hereby canceled.

Respectfully submitted,

GIRARD & EQUITZ LLP

Dated: 5/13/04 By: Alfred A. Equitz
Alfred A. Equitz
Reg. No. 30,922

Attorney Docket No. VTEK-110

Attorneys for Applicant(s)